were moving from Faizabad to Ayodhya, these were sent back by the local authorities who said that their orders were that no use of force should be resorted to; the forces had to return to camp at about 2.15 p.m.

According to available reports, effective action was not taken by the local police. On the other hand, Kar Sewaks were allowed to block the access route to the RJB-BM complex by using variou kinds of materials such as iron poles and overturned trolleys. All the railway crossings between Ayodhya and Darshaji Nagar were blocked by the Kar Sewaks. As a result of the total absence of pro-tcction by the local police, and the State authorities not allowing the Central forc^$_s$ to reach the spot despite repeated" requests from the Union Home Minister and Home Secretary, the RJB-BM structure was completely demolished.

The situation in the RJB-BM complex was very sensitive; over 2,00,000 Kar Sewaks were still present in Ayodhya and many of them were in a defiant (>r agitated mood. It was decided to move the Central forces in the night of 7 and 8 December, 1992 so as to use minimum force. Action was taken accordingly, and the RJB-BM area was quickly secured. The movement of Kar Sewaks of of Ayodhya was also expedited by running special trains. The situation Was rapidly brought under control.

Reportedly, after demolition of the RJB-BM structure, the Kar Sewaks Were able to level the ground, remove the debris and construct platform to reinstall the idols. Subsequently, a wall was constructed and a canopy was put up tin *the* idols.

Siographies of Cellular Jail, victims

4110. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR;

SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA,;

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government consideration to pub-lish and maintain a complete document* ed record in the museum at Port Blair, in respect of the biographies of sufferers of the Cellular Jail in Port Blair during the freedom movement; and

(b) what is the extent to which these records are available and in respect of how many sufferers and the names of persons for whom such records are not available?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): (a) There is no such proposal under consi-*deration of the Central Government.*

(b) Does not arise.

Removing the congestion in Jangpura and Bhopal, New Delhi

4111. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 4476 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 19th August, 1992 and state:

(a) what steps Government have taker so far to remove the increasing conges tion of rehriwalas creating traffic ant law and order problems and insanitarj conditions in the Suman Bazar and Central Road of Jangpura and Bhoga areas of New Delhi;

(b) what steps have so far been take for the removal of the projections/ts tensions of shops on both sides of th roads covering foot paths and for wider ing of the roads in the area;

(c) what progress has so far bed made in the implementation of steps take or proposed to be taken in this regard;

(d) whether it is a fact that rehriwal on the roads in the area have been I habilitated a number of times, if so, wh are the details in this regard; and